

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/760,645 01/17/2001 Chong Min Kyung EM/KYUNG/6426 7590 07/02/2003 **BACON & THOMAS, PLLC EXAMINER** 625 Slaters Lane-4th Floor THOMPSON, ANNETTE M Alexandria, VA 22314-1176 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2825

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			&PO
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
. Office Action Summary	09/760,645	KYUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. M. Thompson	2825	
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cov r sh	et with the correspond nc ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, rolly within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 e. cause the application to become	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co time ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 January 2001.			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disp sition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		n.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requiremer	nt.	
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 	nts have been received	i .	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ice of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/760,645

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Thos application 09/760,645, has been examined. Claims 1-40 are pending.

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is grater than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 09/760,645

Art Unit: 2825

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO0057273 to Park et al., in view of KR9709748 to Kim et al. (Applicant's 1449 submission). The Park patent discloses a VLSI emulator based on processors and reconfigurable chips. However, Park does not details the operations of the emulator as required by the application limitations. The Kim patent discloses the details of emulation including receiving and storing pin signal data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Park with the teachings of Kim for further details on the emulation process.
- 6. Pursuant to claim 1-40, Park and Kim teach the limitations of these claims in entirety and this is also corroborated by the Korean Patent Office.
- 7. The Kim et al. and Park et al. patents are being submitted for translation so a one-to-one correlation may be better made between the application limitation and the patent disclosures.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to A.M. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-7441. The Examiner can usually be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00

Application/Control Number: 09/760,645

Art Unit: 2825

p.m.. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith, can be reached on (703) 308-1323.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956 or the Customer Service Center whose telephone number is (703)306-3329.

9. Responses to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9318, (for **OFFICIAL** communications intended for entry) (703)872-9319, (for Official **AFTER-FINAL** communications)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark

Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

A/M. THOMPSON Patent Examiner

30 June 2003